2 3

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUSAN MAE POLK,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPUTY JAMIS CAVIN, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No.: <u>3:08-cv-01483-MMC (JCS)</u>

ORDER FOR RETURN OF DOCUMENT TO PUBLIC DEFENDER [Docket No.174]

Plaintiff filed an Objection and Request for Sanctions against the Contra Costa County Public Defender [docket no.174]. The Court ordered further briefing, and both the Defendants and Plaintiff filed additional pleadings regarding the matter.

The course of events giving rise to this motion are clear. Plaintiff sought memoranda regarding the incident that lies at the center of this litigation. After a motion to compel was filed, the County Counsel and the Public Defender asserted that a memo prepared by Deputy Public Defender Laurie Mont was protected by the attorney work product doctrine. The Court disagreed and ordered the document turned over to Plaintiff. County Counsel's office, on behalf of the Public Defender, gave a copy of the memo to plaintiff, and kept one copy in the Counsel's office.

Counsel and the Public Defender have admitted in their papers that the memo is work product, and this Court determined that, as Plaintiff was the client involved, Plaintiff was entitled to see the work product. However, nothing in this Court's orders gave the Public Defender the right to turn the document at issue over to the Counsel's office (who represents defendants in this matter). The Counsel's office is adverse to Plaintiff, and is not entitled to see work product prepared for the Plaintiff unless the standard established by Rule 26(b)(3). No such showing has been made. Accordingly, counsel for Defendants is ORDERED to return all copies of Ms.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

United States District Court

Mont's memorancume of the August 29, 2003 apppear	rence to the Public Defender's Office.
The request for sanctions is DENIED .	

Dated: November 27, 2012

Joseph C. Spero United States Magistrate Judge